	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/781,509	OPHIR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wanda Z. Russell	2616
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) Wanda Z. Russell.	(3)	
(2) <u>Cynthia Nicholson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 August 2007.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's represe	ntative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) <u>□</u> No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-43</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached.	h) <b>□</b> N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gene reached, or any other comments: Examiner explained to agreed to amend the claims to overcome the rejection, a specification.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	the applicant the reasons and remove the attorney's endments which the examination copy of the amendments ned.)	s of the 112 rejection. Applicant docket number from the ner agreed would render the claims s that would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to 10 GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	the last Office action has a ER OF ONE MONTH OR T NTERVIEW SUMMARY F	already been filed, APPLICANT IS THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS ORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TC
•		
		A VR
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		's signature if required